This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

.

07/846,131



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

				• •
i	(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ :		(11) International Publication Number:	WO 93/17635
	A61F 2/00	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 16 Sept	ember 1993 (16.09.93)
- !		i .		

US

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/02006 (81) Designated DK, ES, (22) International Filing Date: 4 March 1993 (04.03.93) (AT, BE MC, NI (30) Priority data: CM, GA

4 March 1992 (04.03.92)

(71) Applicant: C.R. BARD, INC. [US/US]; 730 Central Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974 (US).

(72) Inventors: LICHTENSTEIN, Irving, L.; 4 Jib Street, Apt. 18, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 (US). TURNQUIST, Carl, R.; 106 Kenney Lane, Concord, MA 01742 (US).


(74) Agent: HONEYMAN, Jason, M.; Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, Federal Reserve Plaza, 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA 02210 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, PL, RO, RU, SD, SE, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report. With amended claims and statement.

(54) Title: COMPOSITE PROSTHESIS AND METHOD FOR LIMITING THE INCIDENCE OF POSTOPERATIVE ADHESIONS



(57) Abstract

A composite prosthesis (10) and method for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions. The composite (10) includes a mesh fabric (12) and a barrier (14) which prevents exposure of the mesh fabric (12) to areas of potential adhesion. The interstices of the mesh fabric (12) are infiltrated by tissue which secures the prosthesis in place. The composite is positioned with the barrier (14) relative to the region of potential adhesion, such as the abdominal viscera.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

					Sec. 2.4	
AT	Austria		FR	France	MR	Mauritania
ΑU	Australia		GA .	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	. 4	- CB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium		GN-	Guinca	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	20	CR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	•	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin		IE .	Ircland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil		ıт	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada		JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic		KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo			of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland		KR	Republic of Korea	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire		KZ	Kazakhstan	SN	Senegal
CM	Canteroon		IJ	Licehtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
cs	Czechoslovakia -		LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic		LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany		MC	Монасо	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark		MC	Madagascar	US	United States of America
ES	Spain .		M1.	Mali	VN	Viet Nam
Fl	Finland		MN	Mongolia		

COMPOSITE PROSTHESIS AND METHOD FOR LIMITING THE INCIDENCE OF POSTOPERATIVE ADHESIONS

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to an implantable composite prosthesis and method for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Various prosthetic mesh materials have been proposed to reinforce the abdominal wall and to close abdominal wall defects. In certain procedures, including incisional and umbilical hernia repair and chest reconstruction, the prosthetic mesh may come into direct contact with the sensitive abdominal viscera. Postoperative adhesions between the mesh and the intestine may occur, potentially leading to intestinal fistulization.

Various approaches to reducing the incidence of postoperative adhesions arising from the use of prosthetic mesh materials have been proposed by the prior art. It has been suggested to cover the prosthesis with peritoneum or other tissue, where available or adequate to close the defect, to form a biological barrier between the implant and the bowel. Also proposed has been the placement of a physical barrier between the surgical site and the surrounding tissue where adhesions are most commonly encountered.

U.S. Patent No.5,002,551 discloses a physical barrier formed of a knitted oxidized regenerated cellulose (Intercede(TC7)). The patent indicates that other physical barriers include silicone elastomers and absorbable gelatin films. Clinical studies of

Interceed(TC7) were reported in "Prevention of Postsurgical Adhesions by Interceed(TC7), An Absorbable Adhesion Barrier: A Prospective, Randomized Multicenter Clinical Study", Fertility and Sterility, Vol. 51, No. 6, June 1989, pg. 93-938. Such physical barriers alone are not sufficient to reinforce the abdominal wall or to repair abdominal wall defects.

Jenkins et al., "A Comparison of Prosthetic Materials Used to Repair Abdominal Wall Defects", Surgery, Vol. 94, No. 2, August 1983, pg. 392-398, describes a technique of placing an absorbable gelatin film (Gelfilm®) freely between a piece of Marlex® knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh and the abdominal viscera. The gelatin film dissolved after one week. Thereafter, the incidence of adhesions was reported to be the same as with using the Marlex mesh alone.

Accordingly, the prior art lacks a prosthesis suitable for abdominal wall reconstruction and ventral hernia repair which combines the strength and pliability of a prosthetic mesh with the low incidence of postsurgical adhesions of a physical barrier.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a composite prosthesis and method for reinforcing and repairing a weakened muscular wall while limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions. The composite is formed of a biologically compatible, flexible and porous implantable material suitable for reinforcing tissue and closing tissue defects, particularly in the abdominal cavity, and a barrier for physically isolating the reinforcing material from areas likely to form adhesions, such as the abdominal viscera. The barrier and implantable mat rial are permanently attached by an adhesive, stitching or insert molding in a manner which preserves sufficient openings in the material for tissue ingrowth.

In one embodiment of the invention, th composite includes attached sheets of knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric and a silicone elastomer. The silicone elastomer is joined to the mesh by an adhesive which encapsulates the yarns of the mesh and bonds to the silicone elastomer. Regular points of attachment between the mesh fabric and the silicone elastomer sheeting provide a strong, integral composite prosthesis.

In another embodiment of the invention, the knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric and silicone elastomer sheeting are sewn together with a polypropylene monofilament yarn. The knots are located on the mesh side of the prosthesis to minimize the exposure of the monofilament yarn to the areas of potential adhesion.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the silicone elastomer is insert molded to the mesh. The impregnation of the mesh by the molded silicone elastomer is limited to preserve sufficient openings in the mesh for tissue infiltration.

It is among the general objects of the invention to provide a prosthesis which combines the attributes of a surgical mesh fabric and of a physical barrier.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a prosthesis for repairing ventral hernias and for reconstructing the chest wall which limits the incidence of postoperative adhesions and intestinal fistulization.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a prosthesis which stimulates tissue infiltration without causing a similar inflammatory response of the abdominal viscera.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a prosthesis which may be custom shaped, sized and affixed during surgery without destroying the integrity of the device.

An additional object of the invention is to provide a prosthesis which is sufficiently flexible to conform to the surgical site.

Other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description when taken in connection with the accompanying drawings which disclose multiple embodiments of the invention. It is to be understood that the drawings are designed for the purpose of illustration only and are not intended as a definition of the limits of the invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing and other objects and advantages of the invention will be appreciated more fully from the following drawings in which:

Fig. 1(a) is an illustration of the implantable composite prosthesis according to the present invention showing the serpentine pattern of adhesive;

Fig. 1(b) is a sectional illustration along line
1(b) of Fig. 1(a);

Fig. 2(a)-(b) are illustrations of adhesive patterns for joining the mesh fabric and the barrier sheeting;

Fig. 3 is a sectional illustration of an insert molded implantable composite prosthesis according to the present invention;

Fig. 4 is an illustration of a stitched implantable composite prosthesis according to the present invention; and

Fig. 5 is an illustration of a "blind hem stitch" for assembling the implantable composite prosthesis shown in Fig. 4.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The composite prosthesis 10 for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions shown in Figs. 1(a)-(b) includes a tissue infiltratable fabric 12 and an adhesion barrier 14. The fabric is formed of a

material which stimulates an inflammatory reaction in tissue after implantation and includes a plurality of openings which allow sufficient tissue ingrowth to secure the composite to host tissue. The barrier separates the fabric from the area of potential tissue adhesion. In the repair of ventral hernias and in chest wall reconstruction, the barrier isolates the abdominal viscera from the fabric, preventing intestinal adhesion and fistulization which may result from an inflammatory reaction of the bowel and the prosthetic mesh. The composite combines the strength, handling and fibroblastic stimulation of a prosthetic mesh with the low adhesion incidence of a physical barrier.

The relatively flat composite prosthesis sheet is sufficiently pliable to allow the surgeon to manipulate the shape of the implant to conform to the anatomical site of interest and to be sutured or stapled there. Alternatively, the composite may be pre-formed into a more complex shape, such as a tapered plug for filling and occluding a ruptured wall. The barrier need only cover that portion of the implant which is likely to be exposed to the intestine. The shape and size of the composite implant, and of the respective fabric and barrier, may vary according to the surgical application as would be apparent to those of skill in the art.

The tissue infiltratable fabric 12 includes a plurality of interstices or pores which are of sufficient size and orientation to allow tissue ingrowth. The barrier 14 is connected to the fabric 12 without detrimentally limiting the tissue infiltration. The barrier 14 preferably is formed of a translucent material which allows the physician to observe the location and integrity of the composite prosthesis during implantation. Holes may be formed through the barrier 14 to facilitate passage of neutrophiclic graneulocytes, reducing the incidence of infection. The holes should have dimensions sufficient to permit neutrophilic graneulocytic transport without

detrimentally affecting the adhesion resistance of the composite.

The mesh fabric 12 and barrier 14 are integrally connected by an adhesive layer 16. A preferable serpentine pattern of adhesive is illustrated in Fig. 1 which provides a high density of points of attachment between the cover 14 and fabric 12 while still maintaining a sufficient quantity of open or non-impregnated interstices for tissue infiltration. The serpentine pattern maintains composite integrity by preventing the barrier and underlying fabric from separating if the prosthesis is custom cut by the surgeon to match a particular anatomical site.

Alternatively, the adhesive may be applied in a grid-like pattern of dots or beads. In a representative arrangement, one and a half millimeter diameter dots with one centimeter uniform spacing form an effective joint between the fabric and the barrier. A pattern of uniformly spaced beads is shown in Fig. 2(a). A staggered configuration is shown in Fig. 2(b). Various other shapes, sizes and patterns of adhesive may be used as would be apparent to those of skill-in the art.

The fabric 12 preferably is formed of a sheet of knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric such as Marlex® mesh available from C.R. Bard, Inc. When implanted, the polypropylene mesh stimulates an inflammatory reaction which promotes rapid tissue ingrowth into and around the mesh structure. Alternatively, other surgical materials which are suitable for tissue reinforcement and defect closure may be utilized including Prolene®, Dacron®, Teflon® and Merselene®. Absorbable meshes, including polyglactin (Vicryl®) and polyglycolic acid (Dexon®), may be suitable for applications involving temporary repair of fascial defects. It also is contemplated that the mesh fabric may be formed from multifilament yarns and that woven, molded and other recognized methods of forming prosthetic mesh materials would be suitable.

The barrier 14 preferably is formed from a sheet of silicone elastomer such as Silastic® Rx Medical Grade Sheeting (Platinum Cured) distributed by Dow Corning Corporation. Silastic® does not substantially stimulate adhesion formation when implanted in tissue and is significantly less likely to cause an inflammatory reaction with neighboring tissue than would a prosthetic mesh. The silicone elastomer sheeting may be reinforced with Dacron® or other reinforcing materials. Other adhesion resistant materials also may be used as would be apparent to those of skill in the It is contemplated that Teflon® mesh, microporous polypropylene sheeting (Celgard®), expanded PTFE (Goretex®) and oxidized, regenerated cellulose (Intercede(TC7)) alternatively may be used as barriers to adhesion and erosion. However, a composite formed of Intercede(TC7) may have only short term effectiveness, the Intercede(TC7) barrier being absorbed only a short period after implantation.

A preferred adhesive 16 for joining the silicone elastomer barrier to the knitted monofilament polypropylene mesh fabric is Silastic® Medical Adhesive Type A available from Dow Corning Corpóration. The Silastic Medical Adhesive Type A forms a matrix which encapsulates the knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric and bonds to the silicone elastomer sheet. Other adhesives may be utilized as would be apparent to those of skill in the art, the ultimate selection depending upon the composition of the fabric and the barrier.

A preferred procedure for applying the adhesive involves securing overlayed sheets of Marlex® knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric and Silastic® silicone elastomer in a embroidery type hoop frame which includes an inner hoop, a variable diameter outer hoop and a hoop tightening mechanism. The Marlex® mesh and Silastic® sheets are pulled away from the hoops until sufficiently taut. It may be advantageous to stretch

the mesh first and then the sheet of silicone elastomer to prevent pucking or wrinkling of the materials.

The frame is then secured on a positioning table of a liquid dispensing apparatus, such as the CAM/ALOT Model 1414 available from Camelot Systems, Inc. of Haverhill, Massachusetts, with the mesh side facing the adhesive applicator. The adhesive is deposited under appropriate temperature and pressure through an appropriately sized needle positioned against the mesh surface so that the adhesive passes into the mesh interstices and against the bottom face of the silicone elastomer barrier. The deposition of the adhesive is computer controlled allowing the adhesive pattern (serpentine, spaced dots, etc.) to be pre-programmed.

In a representative embodiment, the composite includes a 10 inch by 14 inch sheet of Marlex® mesh knit from Marlex® polypropylene monofilament with a 0.006 inch diameter. A similarly sized 0.005 inch thick sheet of vulcanized silicone elastomer (Silastic®) is attached to the mesh with a serpentine pattern of 0.125 inch wide beads of adhesive (Silastic® Medical Adhesive A).

A molded composite prosthesis 30 is illustrated in Fig. 3 and includes a prosthetic mesh substrate 32 which has been insert molded to a silicone elastomer barrier 34. The silicone elastomer does not completely impregnate the mesh interstices, preserving sufficient openings for tissue infiltration. Holes 36, which may be formed by upstanding pins in the mold, extend completely through the silicone elastomer barrier to provide a pathway for bacteria. The mesh fabric may be surface treated with a carbon dioxide plasma etch prior to molding which may enhance the union of the mesh and the silicone elastomer.

In a representative procedure, a Silastic® Q7-2213 Implant Grade Dispersion available from Dow Corning Corporation was poured into a mold with excess material being removed with a wooden spatula. A she t

of Marlex® mesh fabric was pressed into the mold until the pins impinged the knitted mesh. The solvent was evaporated in a chemical hood and then the dispersion was cured for one hour at 120°C.

A stitched composite prosthesis 40 is illustrated in Fig. 4 and includes sheets of knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric 42 and of a silicone elastomer 44 which are sewn together with a monofilament polypropylene yarn 46. The preferable stitching pattern ("blind hem stitch") illustrated in Fig. 5 ensures that the knots are formed on the mesh fabric side of the composite rather than on the elastomer side where they may cause localized adhesions with the bowel. representative embodiment, 0.5 to 1.0 centimeters long "blind hem" stitches were formed with a 0.006 inch diameter polypropylene monofilament and spaced every 0.5 to 1.0 centimeters, with seams spaced every 0.5 to 1.0 centimeters. A frame consisting of a flat bottom plate and a flat top plate with matching rectangular windows was used to hold the sheets of mesh and silicone elastomer during the sewing procedure.

A comparison of the composite prosthesis and a prosthesis consisting of Marlex® mesh alone has been made in rabbit and rat studies.

In the rabbit study, 4cm x 6 cm defects were created on each side of the abdominal wall muscle and peritoneum. The defects were patched by intraperitoneal placement in each rabbit of respective 5cm x 7cm pieces of Marlex mesh and a composite prosthesis (opposing flat pieces of Marlex® mesh fabric and Silastic® joined by stitching with a "blind hem stitch"). The patches were attached to the inner face of the abdominal wall by 4-0 Prolene sutures. The incision was closed and the animals permitted to recover. After 24 to 34 weeks, the rabbits were sacrificed and examined.

Postoperative adhesions between the Marlex® mesh and the intestine were observed. No int stinal adhesions were observed with the composite prosthesis.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

The composite was observed to be completely anchor d to the abdominal wall and infiltrated by host tissue.

In the rat study, mesh samples were placed between the liver and the inner peritoneal wall. The peritoneum, abdominal rectus muscle and skin were sutured closed with Ethicon 2-0 silk. The rats were sacrificed after six days and the implantation site exposed. An Instron test machine, Model 1123, was used to pull the implanted prostheses from the exposed site at a constant speed of 5mm/min. The relative tensile force required to withdraw the prosthesis from the implant site is believed to correlate to the severity of postoperative adhesions. The test indicated that almost double the relative tensile force was required to extract the Marlex mesh implant than was required to remove the composite prosthesis.

The present invention therefore provides a prosthetic implant, amongst which are certain of the following advantages. The composite prosthesis combines the strength of a mesh material and the low adhesion incidence of a physical barrier. The composite may be anchored in place by tissue ingrowth through the mesh interstices. The specific pattern of attachment. (adhesive, molding, stitching, etc.) of the mesh fabric and barrier provides a dimensionally strong implant without detrimentally affecting tissue infiltration.

The composite of the present invention is particularly indicated for repair of ventral hernias (incisional and umbilical) and chest wall defects where it is more common for the prosthetic mesh to be exposed to the abdominal viscera due to insufficient or unavailable autogenous tissue. The non-inflammatory silicone elastomer barrier prevents the mesh fabric from contacting the abdominal viscera, reducing the incidence of intestinal adhesion and fistulization. It also is contemplated that the composite prosthesis would be indicated for use in laparoscopic procedures, particularly intraperitoneal applications where the

peritoneum would not be available to provide a natural barrier between the implant and the intestine.

It should be understood that the foregoing description of the invention is intended merely to be illustrative thereof and that other equivalents, embodiments and modifications of the invention may be apparent to those skilled in the art.

We Claim:

1. An implantable composite prosthesis for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:

an implantable material having a plurality of interstices constructed and arranged to allow tissue ingrowth, said implantable material stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted into tissue;

a barrier which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions; and

means for attaching said barrier to said implantable material so that a first portion of said surgically implantable material is covered by said barrier and a second portion remains uncovered by said barrier, whereby tissue may infiltrate said implantable material through said second portion.

- 2. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said implantable material includes a synthetic mesh.
- 3. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said implantable material includes a non-absorbable material.
- 4. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said implantable material includes an absorbable material.
- 5. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said implantable material includes a knitted polypropylene mesh material.
- 6. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said barrier includes a silicone elastom r material.

- 7. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said barrier includes an absorbable material.
- 8. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said barrier includes a non-absorbable material.
- 9. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said barrier is translucent.
- 10. The prosthesis recited in claim 1 wherein said implantable material and said barrier are configured as flat sheets which are attached along opposing surfaces.
- 11. The prosthesis recited in claim 10 wherein said implantable material and said barrier have substantially matching perimeters.
- 12. An implantable composite prosthesis for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:

an implantable mesh fabric, said implantable mesh fabric stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted into tissue;

a barrier which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions; and

means for attaching said barrier to said implantable mesh fabric so that a first portion of said implantable mesh fabric is covered by said barrier and a second portion remains uncovered by said barrier, whereby tissue may infiltrate said implantable mesh fabric through said second portion.

13. The prosthesis recited in claim 12 wherein said implantabl mesh fabric is formed from a monofilament yarn.

- 14. The prosthesis recited in claim 12 wherein said implantable mesh fabric is formed from a multifilament yarn.
- 15. The prosthesis recited in claim 12 wherein said implantable mesh fabric includes a knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh.
- 16. The prosthesis recited in claim 12 wherein said implantable mesh fabric is configured as a flat sheet.
- 17. An implantable composite prosthesis for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:
- an implantable material having a plurality of interstices constructed and arranged to allow tissue ingrowth, said implantable material stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted into tissue; and
- a barrier which does not substantially stimulate formation of postoperative adhesions, said barrier covering at least a portion of said implantable material and having a plurality of holes extending therethrough constructed and arranged to allow neutrophilic graneulocytic transport.
- 18. The prosthesis recited in claim 17 wherein said plurality of holes are in communication with said plurality of interstices.
- 19. An implantable composite prosthesis for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:
- a knitted polypropylene monofilam nt mesh fabric having a plurality of interstices constructed and

arrang d to allow tissue ingrowth so that the mesh fabric becomes secured to neighboring tissue after implantation;

a silicone elastomer containing cover which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions; and

means for attaching said silicone elastomer containing cover to said knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric so that a first portion of said knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric is covered by said silicone elastomer cover and a second portion remains uncovered by said silicone elastomer cover, whereby tissue may infiltrate said knitted polypropylene monofilament mesh fabric through said second portion.

20. An implantable composite prosthesis for limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:

an implantable material having a plurality of openings for tissue infiltration, said implantable material stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted into tissue;

- a barrier which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions, said barrier covering a portion of said implantable material, whereby said barrier physically separates said portion of said implantable material from a region of potential postoperative adhesion after implantation of said prosthesis.
- 21. An implantabl composite prosthesis, comprising:

- a pliable implantable member having a first surface portion which stimulates an inflammatory reaction when implanted in tissue and having a plurality of openings constructed and arranged to allow tissue infiltration and a second surface portion which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions.
- 22. The prosthesis recited in claim 21 wherein said first surface portion and said second surface portion are on opposing faces of said member.
- 23. The prosthesis recited in claim 22 wherein said first surface portion and said second surface portion have substantially similar perimeters.
- 24. The prosthesis recited in claim 22 wherein said perimeter of said second surface portion is smaller than the perimeter of said first surface portion.
- 25. The prosthesis recited in claim 21 wherein said member has a substantially uniform thickness.
- 26. An implantable composite prosthesis, comprising:
- a pliable flat sheet of implantable material having openings constructed and arranged to allow for tissue infiltration, said pliable flat sheet of implantable material stimulating an inflammatory response when implanted in tissue;
- a pliable flat sheet of a barrier material which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions;

said pliable flat sheet of implantable material and said pliable flat sheet of barrier material being fixedly superposed.

- 27. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein a serpentine pattern of adhesive is interposed between said pliable flat sheet of implantable material and said pliable flat sheet of barrier material.
- 28. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein a plurality of dots of adhesive are interposed at spaced locations between said pliable flat sheet of implantable material and said pliable flat sheet of barrier material.
- 29. The prosthesis recited in claim 28 wherein said plurality of dots are uniformly spaced.
- 30. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein said pliable flat sheet of implantable material and said pliable flat sheet of barrier material are stitched together with a stitching material, a larger portion of said stitching material being disposed relative to said pliable flat sheet of implantable material than said pliable flat sheet of barrier material to reduce the incidence of postoperative adhesions forming on said stitching material.
- 31. The prosthesis recited in claim 30 wherein said stitching material is formed from the same material as said implantable material.
- 32. The prosthesis recited in claim 30 wherein said stitching material includes a monofilament yarn.
- 33. The prosthesis recited in claim 30 wherein said stitching material is knotted adjacent a surface of said flat sheet of implantable material.
- 34. A method of limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:

providing a composite prosthesis including an implantable material having a plurality of interstices constructed and arranged to allow tissue ingrowth, the implantable material stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted in tissue, a barrier which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions, the barrier and the implantable material being attached so that a first portion of the implantable material is covered by the barrier and a second portion is uncovered by the barrier, whereby the apparatus is securable to neighboring tissue by ingrowth through the second portion; and

positioning the apparatus for limiting the formation of postoperative adhesions with the second portion of the implantable material relative to the region of desired tissue ingrowth and the barrier covered first portion relative to the region of potential postoperative adhesion.

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 03 August 1993 (03.08.93); original claims 1-25 and 27-30 deleted; original claims 26 and 31-34 amended and renumbered as claims 1-5 (2 pages)]

- 1. An implantable composite prosthesis, comprising:
- a pliable sheet of implantable material having openings constructed and arranged to allow for tissue infiltration, said pliable sheet of implantable material stimulating an inflammatory response when implanted in tissue;
- a pliable sheet of a barrier material which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions;

wherein said pliable sheet of implantable material and said pliable sheet of barrier material are stitched together with a stitching material which stimulates an inflammatory response when implanted in tissue, a larger portion of said stitching material being disposed relative to said pliable sheet of implantable material than said pliable sheet of barrier material to reduce the incidence of postoperative adhesions forming on said stitching material.

- 2. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein said stitching material is formed from the same material as said implantable material.
- 3. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein said stitching material includes a monofilament yarn.
- 4. The prosthesis recited in claim 26 wherein said stitching material is knotted adjacent a surface of said flat sheet of implantable material.

5. A method of limiting the incidence of postoperative adhesions, comprising:

providing a composite prosthesis including an implantable material having a plurality of interstices constructed and arranged to allow tissue ingrowth, the implantable material stimulating an inflammatory reaction when implanted in tissue, a barrier which does not substantially stimulate the formation of postoperative adhesions, the barrier and the implantable material being attached so that a first portion of the implantable material is covered by the barrier and a second portion is uncovered by the barrier, whereby the apparatus is securable to neighboring tissue by ingrowth through the second portion; and

positioning the composite prosthesis for limiting the formation of postoperative adhesions with the second portion of the implantable material relative to a region of desired tissue ingrowth and the barrier covered first portion relative to a region of potential postoperative adhesion.

STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 19

The claims, as amended, are now identical to the claims pending in the priority United States patent application.




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B





FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET






FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG.5C

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

International Application No.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl. 5 A61F2/00 Minimum Documentation Searched Classification System Classification Symbols Int.Cl. 5 A61F Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages I2 X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 See claims 1-3; figure 1 A US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 See column 3, line 31 - line 46			
Classification System Classification System Classification Symbols Int.Cl. 5 A61F Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁹ Category Citation of Document, ^{II} with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ^{I2} . Relevant to the Fields Searched X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 3-12, 20-23 25,26 A Y See claims 1-3; figure 1 Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46	1F2/00		
Classification System Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category Clation of Document, II with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages I2 X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 Y see claims 1-3; figure 1 A US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46			
Int.C1. 5 A61F Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages I2 X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 Y see claims 1-3; figure 1 US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46			
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched. III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category. Cltation of Document, 11 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12. X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 Y see claims 1-3; figure 1 Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12. X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 Y see claims 1-3; figure 1 Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46			
Category Citation of Document, 11 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12. X FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 Y see claims 1-3; figure 1 Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46	15, 19 14 15, 19 1, 2, 4, 6, 3-13, 16, 20-23, 25, 26 Il filling date oblication but errying the invention level to invention ep when the much docurson skilled		
FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 1-3,5 8-12, 20-23 25,26 15,19 Where the second stress of the second seco			
FR,A,2 145 975 (CUTTER LABORATORIES) 23 February 1973 1-3,5 8-12, 20-23 25,26 15,19 Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46	Claim No.13		
Y US,A,4 854 316 (E.A. DAVIS) 8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46	,6, 16,		
8 August 1989 see column 3, line 31 - line 46			
21 March 1990 8-13,1 20-23,	.6,		
see column 3, line 10 - line 48 see column 4, line 12 - line 22 see column 5, line 46 - column 6, line 16 see page 7, line 10 - line 13			
-/			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application a cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention filing date or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "I" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application is cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to invention cannot be consi	ut B		
V. CERTIFICATION			
24 MAY 1993	93		
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE PCT/ISA/210 (second short) (January 1985) Signature of Authorized Officer WOLF C.			

III. DOCUME	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)	
Category ^o	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
X	WO,A,8 204 390 (K.Z. KURLAND) 23 December 1982	1-3,5,7, 10-12, 16, 20-23, 25,26, 30,33
	see page 7, line 13 - line 21 see page 17, line 19 - page 18, line 1 see page 19, line 1 - line 7; figures 16,17,19,20	
x	EP,A,0 194 192 (ETHNOR) 10 September 1986	1,4,7, 9-12,16, 20
	see page 4, line 7 - page 5, line 15 see page 7, line 29 - line 32 see page 9, line 20 - line 23	
A	CORNEA vol. 2, no. 3, 1983, pages 179 - 183 H. CARDONA 'PROSTHOKERATOPLASTY' see page 181, column 1, line 1 - page 182, column 1, line 8; figure 3	1-3,5,6, 8,12, 16-24
P,X	WO,A,9 219 162 (A. SEID) 12 November 1992	1-3,5,8, 10-12, 16,
P,A	see page 11, line 29 - page 12, line 5 see page 16, line 27 - page 17, line 2; figure 13	20-23,26 13-15
P,X	WO,A,9 210 218 (W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES) 25 June 1992	1,4,7, 10-12, 16,
P,A	see abstract; figures 1-3	20-23,26 17,18, 27-29
	see page 5, line 18 - line 25 see page 6, line 5 - line 32 see page 7, line 8 - line 11 see page 8, line 10 - line 15	

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US 93/02006

Transportation where surrain plaims were mund unaugenable (Cinnelnuation of Ital) 1 of first short
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: 34 because they relate to subject matter not required as h
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery. Rule 39.1 (iv) PCT.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6,4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1,
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searches without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 9302006 SA 71245

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on

The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

24/05/93

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		t family uber(s)	Publication date
FR-A-2145975	23-02-73	DE-A,B GB-A-	2232002 1352282	18-01-73 08-05-74
US-A-4854316	08-08-89	None		
EP-A-0358819	21-03-90	JP-A- AU-B- AU-A- US-A-	64002643 609867 2189588 4882162	06-01-89 09-05-91 15-03-90 21-11-89
WO-A-8204390	23-12-82	US-A- AU-A- EP-A,B US-A- US-A-	4400833 8733382 0080510 4585458 4713075	30-08-83 04-01-83 08-06-83 29-04-86 15-12-87
EP-A-0194192	10-09-86	FR-A-	2577807	29-08-86
WO-A-9219162	12-11-92	None		
WO-A-9210218	25-06-92	None	w	